



TECHNICAL REPORT

2020 SURVEY (WAVE 4)

JANUARY 24, 2022



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FUNDING FOR THE INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY STUDY WAS PROVIDED BY A CANADIAN INSTITUTES OF HEALTH RESEARCH (CIHR) PROJECT GRANT (PJT-162167), WITH ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FROM HEALTH CANADA, THE PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCY OF CANADA (PHAC), AND A CIHR-PHAC APPLIED PUBLIC HEALTH CHAIR. THE STUDY HAS NO AFFILIATIONS WITH THE FOOD INDUSTRY AND THERE ARE NO CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS TO DECLARE.

SUGGESTED CITATION

HAMMOND D, WHITE CM, RYNARD VL, VANDERLEE L. INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY STUDY: TECHNICAL REPORT – 2020 SURVEY (WAVE 4). UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO. JANUARY 2022. AVAILABLE AT WWW.FOODPOLICYSTUDY.COM/METHODS

CONTACT

DAVID HAMMOND PhD
SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
WATERLOO, ON CANADA N2L 3G1
DHAMMOND@UWATERLOO.CA
WWW.DAVIDHAMMOND.CA



RESEARCH TEAM

CANADA

David Hammond, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo (PI)
 Lana Vanderlee, School of Nutrition, Université Laval
 Rachel Acton, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo
 Joel Dubin, Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science; School of Public Health Sciences,
 University of Waterloo
 Samantha Goodman, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo
 Sharon Kirkpatrick, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo
 Tarra Penney, School of Global Health, York University
 Monique Potvin-Kent, School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa
 Vicki Rynard, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo
 Christine White, School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo

AUSTRALIA

Gary Sacks, Collaborating Centre for Obesity Prevention, Deakin University
 Adrian Cameron, Collaborating Centre for Obesity Prevention, Deakin University

MEXICO

Simon Barquera, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, Mexico
 Alejandra Jáuregui de la Mota, Instituto de Salud Pública, Mexico

UNITED KINGDOM

Martin White, Centre for Diet and Activity Research, University of Cambridge
 Jean Adams, Centre for Diet and Activity Research, University of Cambridge

UNITED STATES

James Thrasher, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina
 Rachel Davis, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina
 Christina Roberto, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania

FUNDING AND POLICY ON INDUSTRY SUPPORT

Funding for the International Food Policy Study was provided by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Project Grant (PJT-162167), with additional support from Health Canada, the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC), and a CIHR-PHAC Applied Public Health Chair (Hammond). The study has no affiliations with the food industry and the Principal Investigator (Hammond) has no conflicts of interests to declare. It is a general policy of the project that authors should not accept industry funding for any work related to this project and should declare all potential conflicts of interest.

METHODS

The primary objective of the International Food Policy Study (IFPS) is to evaluate the impact of national-level food policies. Repeat cross-sectional studies are being conducted in each of five countries—Australia, Canada, Mexico, the United Kingdom, and the United States—to examine dietary patterns and policy-relevant behaviours across countries. The study provides a quasi-experimental design for evaluating federal-level policies by providing both ‘within’ and ‘between-country’ measures over time.

SAMPLE & RECRUITMENT

Online surveys were conducted in 2020 with a total of 21,753 respondents from five countries: Australia (n=4,289), Canada (n=4,309), Mexico (n=4,284), the United Kingdom (n=4,249), and the United States (n=4,622). The baseline survey was conducted in December 2017, and 12-month follow-up surveys were conducted in November-December 2018, 2019 and 2020.

A total of 1,383 respondents completed surveys in both Wave 1 and Wave 2 (6.1% of the Wave 2 sample). A total of 1,684 respondents completed surveys in both Wave 2 and Wave 3 (8.0% of the Wave 3 sample). A total of 583 respondents completed surveys in Waves 1 to 3 (2.8% of Wave 3 sample). A total of 342 respondents completed surveys in both Wave 3 and Wave 4 (1.6% of the Wave 4 sample). A total of 134 respondents completed surveys in Waves 2 to 4 (0.6% of the Wave 4 sample). A total of 73 respondents completed surveys in all 4 waves (0.3% of Wave 4 sample).

The sample was recruited from the Nielsen Consumer Insights Global Panel, which maintains and/or has partner panels in each country. The panels are recruited using both probability and non-probability sampling methods. The Nielsen panel provides standardized recruitment sampling across countries. For the current study, Nielsen drew random samples stratified for age and sex from the online panels in each country based on the quotas described below.

Quotas for age and sex were applied to facilitate recruitment of a diverse sample that approximated the known proportions in each country for males and females in four age groups: 18-29, 30-44, 45-64, and 65+. Sample targets were also used to recruit a proportion of respondents with low education resembling the population distribution in each country; this was considered a target rather than a strict quota: the Mexico panel had limited sample with low education so the targets could not be strictly enforced. Sample targets were also used to recruit English- and French- speaking respondents in Canada proportional to the population distribution; and to recruit Spanish-speaking respondents in the US. In addition, respondents in the United States who identified as Mexican, Mexican-American or Chicano were over-sampled to facilitate comparisons with respondents in Mexico.

Individuals were eligible to participate if they were 18 to 100 years of age, and resided in the target country. Email invitations with unique survey access links were sent to a random sample of panelists within each country after targeting for demographics; panelists known to be ineligible were not invited. Potential respondents were screened for eligibility and quota requirements using age, and sex. Additional potential respondents for the US over-sample were also screened for eligibility based on Mexican, Mexican-American or Chicano origin. After screening, all potential respondents were provided with information about the study and were asked to provide consent before participating.

Respondents received remuneration in accordance with their panel’s usual incentive structure, which includes points-based or monetary rewards that can be redeemed for e-gift cards, catalog items, cash, donations and/or chances to win monthly prizes. These incentives have been shown to increase response rates and decrease response bias in sub-groups under-represented in surveys, including disadvantaged subgroups.^{1,2,3}

All data collection was conducted online, which provides several advantages, including the use of product images to assess beverage consumption and in experimental tasks, and the use of ‘skip patterns’ and questionnaire routing to account for differential patterns of use. Online surveys can also reduce social desirability bias, compared to in-person and phone surveys, by providing greater anonymity for sensitive topics such as weight bias and stigma.^{4,5}

Online survey methods are well-established, and are emerging as the preferred mode for population-based surveys given declining response rates from random digit dialled (RDD) phone surveys.^{6,7,8,9} Until recently, online surveys were constrained by limited internet penetration. However, internet penetration now exceeds “landlines”, even among lower socioeconomic groups: in Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom and the United States, internet usage in the population approximates 90% or more.^{10,11,12,13} Internet penetration is lower in Mexico, but still widespread with approximately 70% of Mexicans using the internet.¹⁴

Respondents were permitted to complete the survey on desktop or laptop computers, or mobile devices including smartphones or tablets. Some survey measures rendered differently on devices with smaller screen sizes. Measures involving scales from 0 to 10 displayed the scale horizontally on desktops and laptops, and vertically on smartphones and tablets. Overall, 40% of respondents completed the survey on a smartphone. Completion on a smartphone was highest in Mexico: nearly half of respondents in Mexico used a smartphone.

PARTICIPATION RATES

Table 1 indicates the number of survey invitations sent in each country. The survey was ‘closed’ when target quotas were met.

TABLE 1: Dispositions of potential respondents for the International Food Policy Study, by country, 2020

Disposition	Total		Australia		Canada		Mexico		United Kingdom		United States	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Invitations sent	776,061		187,229		103,655		81,234		214,608		189,335	
Did not access survey	740,024	95.4	180,811	96.6	97,477	94.0	72,506	89.3	208,102	97.0	181,128	95.7
Total accessed survey	36,037	4.6	6,418	3.4	6,178	6.0	8,728	10.7	6,506	3.0	8,207	4.3
Accessed survey link, unknown eligibility ^a	780	0.1	140	0.1	73	0.1	325	0.4	110	0.1	132	0.1
Eligible, no consent	4,160	0.5	760	0.4	825	0.8	802	1.0	746	0.3	1,027	0.5
Ineligible ^b	966	0.1	18	0.0	16	0.0	116	0.1	18	0.0	798	0.4
Completes	30,131	3.9	5,500	2.9	5,264	5.1	7,485	9.2	5,632	2.6	6,250	3.3
Excluded, data quality ^c	8,378	1.1	1,211	0.6	955	0.9	3,201	3.9	1,383	0.6	1,628	0.9
No/ineligible region	7,367	0.9	1,105	0.6	791	0.8	3,017	3.7	1,225	0.6	1,229	0.6
Fail data quality check	788	0.1	83	0.0	125	0.1	161	0.2	119	0.1	300	0.2
Speeding	71	0.0	1	0.0	23	0.0	22	0.0	10	0.0	15	0.0
Other quality issue	152	0.0	22	0.0	16	0.0	1	0.0	29	0.0	84	0.0
Complete, retained	21,753	2.8	4,289	2.3	4,309	4.2	4,284	5.3	4,249	2.0	4,622	2.4

^a Respondent closed the survey link before the age and sex screening questions were completed and eligibility determined

^b Respondent screened ineligible due to ineligible age (<18), or ineligible ethnicity for the US Mexican-American oversample

^c Respondent quit the survey prior to completing the region question, otherwise failed to state their region, or stated their region as in another country or an ineligible region (i.e., a territory in Canada), and/or failed to answer or incorrectly answered the data quality check question, “What is the current month?”, and/or completed the survey in less than 15 minutes, indicating “speeding” and presumably lack of attention, and/or had unreasonable or extreme responses to at least three of 21 open-ended measures.

For commercial panels that include non-probability based samples, the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) recommends reporting the ‘participation rate’, also referred to as a ‘completion rate’. The participation rate is defined as “the number of respondents who have provided a usable response divided by the total number of initial personal invitations requesting participation”.¹⁵ Participation rates are largely a product of sample management and the amount of sample that is ‘released’ prior to reaching target quotas.

Participation rates for eligible participants were calculated for the current study as follows:

$$\text{Participation Rate} = \text{Completes} / \text{Total Eligible Invites}$$

$$\text{Total Eligible Invites} = \text{Unknown Eligible} - [\text{Unknown Eligible} * (\text{Ineligible} / (\text{Known Eligible} + \text{Unknown Eligible} + \text{Ineligible}))] + \text{Eligible, no consent} + \text{Completes}$$

$$\text{Unknown Eligible} = \text{Did not access survey} + \text{Accessed survey, unknown eligibility}$$

The total participation rate was 3.9%. As shown in Table 1, 776,061 invitations were sent to panelists; 36,037 potential respondents (4.6%) accessed the survey link; and 21,753 respondents (2.8%) completed the IFPS survey and were retained in the sample.

The cooperation rate represents “the proportion of all cases interviewed of all eligible units ever contacted”.¹⁵ Across all countries, the cooperation rate was 62.1%, which was calculated based on AAPOR Cooperation Rate #2, as the percentage of respondents who completed the survey (21,753) of those eligible who accessed the survey link (35,050).

SURVEY CONTENT AND DEVELOPMENT

The study assessed seven primary policy domains: price/taxation, food packaging and labelling, retail food policies, food marketing, nutritional labelling in restaurants, nutrition information and education, and food guide/dietary recommendations. The study has a particular focus on sugary drink policies and beverage intake, in addition to the following consumer perceptions and behaviours: sources of food purchases and food preparation, weight loss behaviour, nutrition knowledge, food security, and weight bias/stigma. In Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom and the United States (main sample only), respondents were also asked to complete a 24-hour dietary recall.

The majority of questionnaire items were drawn or adapted from national surveys or selected based on previous research. Several new measures were also developed by the research team. Cognitive interviewing was previously conducted with 50 young adults in Canada to evaluate and improve several new items including the food source and beverage frequency measures.^{16,17}

Surveys were conducted in English in Australia and the United Kingdom; Spanish in Mexico; English or French in Canada; and English or Spanish in the United States (based on the panelist’s known language preference). The 2017 baseline questionnaire was translated to French by Communications Parisella, etc. Inc (Montreal, Canada) and Spanish by Benton & Associates (Mexico City, Mexico). In 2018, updates to both French and Spanish surveys were completed by Communications Parisella, etc. Inc. In 2019 and 2020, updates to the French survey were completed by Sirois French Translation Services, and updates to the Spanish surveys were completed by Communications Parisella, etc. Inc. Members of the research team who were native in each language reviewed the French and Spanish translations independently, and confirmed nutrition-related terminology.

Surveys were also adapted for country-specific terminology (e.g., “soda or pop” in Canada vs. “fizzy drinks” in the United Kingdom). Survey teams in each country also reviewed beverage and food lists and images to ensure that the measures were representative of the products available in each market.

The median survey completion time across countries was 44 minutes (see Table 2 for time, by country).

TABLE 2: Median survey time, by country, 2020

Country	Median survey time minutes
Australia ^a	44
Canada – overall ^a	43
Canada – English ^a	42
Canada – French ^a	45
Mexico	60
United Kingdom ^a	39
United States – overall ^a	41
United States – English ^a	40
United States – Spanish ^a	48
OVERALL	44

^a Median survey time for Australia, Canada, United Kingdom and United States excludes time to complete 24-hour dietary recall.

24-HOUR DIETARY RECALL

Upon completion of the main survey module, all respondents except those in Mexico and the US Mexican-American oversample were asked to complete a 24-hour dietary recall.

Respondents in Australia, Canada, and the United States (main sample only) were redirected to a US National Institutes of Health website to complete the Automated Self-Administered 24-hour Recall (ASA24[®]), developed by the National Cancer Institute.¹⁸ Versions ASA24-Australia-2016, ASA24-Canada-2018, and ASA24-2020, were used in each of Australia, Canada and the United States, respectively. Modules for ‘location’, ‘ate with’ and ‘supplements’ were turned on in the ASA24 system.

Respondents in the United Kingdom were redirected to a website operated by the University of Cambridge to complete the Intake24 dietary recall. The Intake24 system was originally developed by Newcastle University, and is now operated by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) - Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre Measurement Platform.¹⁹

For all 24-hour dietary recalls (ASA24 and Intake24), the intake frame was from midnight to midnight of the previous day. Respondents were required to complete reporting in a single session. A total of 11,613 respondents completed a 24-hour dietary recall, including 3,093 respondents from Australia (72.1%); 3,028 respondents from Canada (70.3%); 3,025 respondents from the United Kingdom (71.2%); and 2,467 respondents from the United States (61.3% of the main US sample).

DATA INTEGRITY

As a data integrity check, part of the way through the survey, respondents were asked to select the current month from a list. The month selected by the respondent was compared to the month when the survey was submitted (November or December). Respondents who failed to answer the question and those with month

discrepancies were excluded from the analytic sample, unless the selected month was within two days of the date the survey was submitted (e.g., selected November but submitted on December 1st or 2nd).

Respondents who completed the survey in less than 15 minutes were considered “speeders”. The median survey completion time was substantially longer at 44 minutes, thus those who completed in less than 15 minutes would have presumably lacked attention when responding to the survey questions, and consequently were excluded from the analytic sample.

Additional data integrity analyses were conducted during data cleaning. A total of 21 numeric or text open-ended measures were reviewed within which problematic responses were flagged. The numeric open-ended measures reviewed included beverage intake amounts, fruit and vegetable consumption amounts, and self-reported height and weight. The text open-ended measures reviewed included descriptions of public education campaigns, responses to the newest vital sign measure, food guide messages, as well as ‘other’ responses for the types of locations where meals were prepared away from home, types of fast-food or quick service restaurants, purchase locations for food prepared at home, purchase methods for food prepared at home, gender, occupation, children’s age, living situation, ethnicity, religious practices for eating, weight loss/maintenance methods, sources of nutrition information, doctor’s advice, menu labelling information locations, and marketing exposure locations. Participants who had unreasonable responses, such as extreme numeric values, nonsensical typing, or response content not related to the survey question, for at least three of these measures were excluded from the analytic sample.

ETHICS CLEARANCE

The study was reviewed by and received ethics clearance through a University of Waterloo Research Ethics Committee (ORE # 30829).

SURVEY WEIGHTS

Post-stratification sample weights were constructed for each country separately based on known population totals by age, sex at birth, region, education (except in Mexico), and ethnicity (except in Canada). Respondents were classified into sex-by-age-by-region groups, ethnicity-by-region groups (except in Canada), Hispanic status groups (in the US only), and education groups (except in Mexico). Corresponding population estimates (sex, age, region populations) from each country were obtained.^{20,21,22,23,24} Census data on ethnicity and education from each country were also obtained^{25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36} Separately by country, a raking algorithm was applied to compute weights that are calibrated to these groupings. The SAS macro “RAKE_AND_TRIM_G4_V5” was used, with trimming to 5 (rescaled) if necessary.^{37,38} Finally, the weights were rescaled to sum to the sample size in each country. Note: the approach to weighting ethnicity in the United States was enhanced in 2020, as described below.

The tables below indicate the age, sex at birth, region, ethnicity and education categories used for weighting by country.

AUSTRALIA

Age groups	Sex at birth	Regions	Ethnicity	Education
1) 18-29 years	1) Male	1) New South Wales	1) Speak language other than English in the home	1) Year 12 or lower
2) 30-44 years	2) Female	2) Victoria		2) Trade certificate/diploma/some university (below bachelor's level)
3) 45-59 years		3) Queensland		
4) 60+ years		4) Western Australia	2) Speak English only in the home	3) Bachelor's degree or more
		5) South Australia		
		6) Tasmania/Australian Capital Territory/Northern Territory		

Note: Respondents from Tasmania, Australian Capital Territory and Northern Territory were collapsed into one category due to small sample sizes. This means that the Australian data are adjusted to the age, sex and ethnicity of the five larger states but not to Tasmania, Australian Capital Territory, nor Northern Territory individually.

The survey weights for Australia ranged from 0.39 to 3.42.

CANADA

Age groups	Sex at birth	Regions	Education
1) 18-29 years	1) Male	1) Atlantic Provinces	1) Less than high school diploma
2) 30-44 years	2) Female	2) Quebec	
3) 45-59 years		3) Ontario	2) High school diploma
4) 60+ years		4) Prairie Provinces	3) Trade certificate/diploma/some university (below bachelor's level)
		5) British Columbia	4) Bachelor's degree or more

Note: 4 respondents from the Northwest Territories, 1 respondent from Nunavut, and 1 respondent from the Yukon were excluded from the sample. Ethnicity was not incorporated in the development of weights for Canada due to inconsistent collection methods/response options used in national surveys/census.

The survey weights in Canada ranged from 0.43 to 5.03.

MEXICO

Age groups	Sex at birth	Regions	Ethnicity
1) 18-29 years	1) Male	1) North region	1) Indigenous
2) 30-44 years	2) Female	2) South region	2) Not Indigenous
3) 45-54 years		3) Centre region	
4) 55+ years		4) Mexico City region	

Note: Upper age group categories were altered from other countries due to small sample sizes for females aged 60+ years. Education was not incorporated in the development of weights for Mexico because the proportion of respondents with lower educational attainment was so much smaller than in population estimates from census data that weights could not be obtained.

The survey weights for Mexico ranged from 0.16 to 5.00.

UNITED KINGDOM

Age groups	Sex at birth	Regions	Ethnicity	Education
1) 18-29 years	1) Male	1) North East	1) White alone	1) No qualification/Level 1
2) 30-44 years	2) Female	2) North West	2) Other	2) Level 2 (incl. 5+ O level, etc.)/ Apprenticeship/Foreign qualification (level unknown)/ not stated
3) 45-59 years		3) Yorkshire and the Humber		
4) 60+ years		4) East Midlands		
		5) West Midlands		
<i>Northern</i>		6) East of England		3) Level 3 (incl. 2+ A levels, etc)
<i>Ireland</i>		7) London		4) Level 4 (incl. degree or higher / professional qualifications)
1) 18-44 years		8) South East		
2) 45+ years		9) South West		
		10) Scotland		
		11) Wales		
		12) Northern Ireland		

Note: Age group categories were collapsed for Northern Ireland only due to small sample sizes in the region. North East, South West, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland were collapsed for the ethnicity-by-region groups due to low numbers in the 'Other' ethnicity cells.

The survey weights for the United Kingdom ranged from 0.33 to 3.59.

UNITED STATES

Age groups	Sex at birth	Regions	Ethnicity	Hispanic Status	Education
1) 18-29 years	1) Male 2) Female	1) New England 2) Middle Atlantic	1) White alone and not Hispanic	1) Hispanic 2) Not Hispanic	1) 11 th Grade or lower 2) High school diploma (or some college)
2) 30-44 years		3) East North Central 4) West North Central	2) Other		3) Associates's degree / vocational certificate
3) 45-59 years		5) South Atlantic 6) East South Central			4) Bachelor's degree or more
4) 60+ years		7) West South Central 8) Mountain 9) Pacific			

The survey weights for the United States ranged from 0.16 to 5.06.

In the 2020 survey wave, the method previously used to construct weights for US respondents was revised. Papers completed before 2022 using data from 2018-2019 IFPS survey waves employed weights for US respondents where ethnicity was categorized as 'White alone' (regardless of Hispanic status) or 'Other'. In the 2020 wave, ethnicity was instead categorized as 'White alone and not Hispanic' or 'Other' to better align with census estimates. Revised weights were also constructed retroactively for the 2018-2019 US datasets; any new papers using 2018-2019 US data should use these revised weights.

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic characteristics of the sample, by country, are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3: Sample Demographics, by country, 2020 n=21,753

Disposition	Australia n=4,289		Canada n=4,309		Mexico n=4,284		United Kingdom n=4,249		United States n=4,622	
	Unweighted % (n)	Weighted % (n)	Unweighted % (n)	Weighted % (n)	Unweighted % (n)	Weighted % (n)	Unweighted % (n)	Weighted % (n)	Unweighted % (n)	Weighted % (n)
Sex										
Male	49.6% (2,126)	49.1% (2,104)	49.1% (2,117)	49.4% (2,127)	50.6% (2,166)	48.0% (2,055)	49.8% (2,114)	48.9% (2,076)	47.7% (2,206)	48.7% (2,252)
Female	50.4% (2,163)	50.9% (2,185)	50.9% (2,192)	50.6% (2,182)	49.4% (2,118)	52.0% (2,229)	50.2% (2,135)	51.1% (2,173)	52.3% (2,416)	51.3% (2,370)
Age										
(mean; SD)	48.3 years (SD=17.31)	46.6 years (SD=17.07)	48.2 years (SD=17.17)	47.9 years (SD=17.44)	38.1 years (SD=13.00)	40.5 years (SD=14.50)	48.6 years (SD=17.66)	48.2 years (SD=17.31)	46.8 years (SD=16.86)	46.7 years (SD=17.25)
Education										
Low	43.6% (1,870)	41.9% (1,798)	28.0% (1,207)	42.3% (1,821)	22.7% (972)	23.2% (993)	40.3% (1,711)	51.7% (2,195)	37.0% (1,710)	54.8% (2,531)
Medium	30.9% (1,326)	32.0% (1,374)	40.9% (1,764)	33.1% (1,425)	13.6% (582)	13.8% (593)	29.2% (1,240)	19.4% (825)	20.6% (951)	10.1% (465)
High	25.0% (1,071)	25.5% (1,094)	30.5% (1,314)	24.1% (1,040)	63.6% (2,723)	62.8% (2,691)	29.8% (1,265)	28.1% (1,195)	41.8% (1,933)	34.7% (1,602)
Not stated	0.5% (22)	0.5% (23)	0.6% (24)	0.6% (24)	0.2% (7)	0.2% (8)	0.8% (33)	0.8% (33)	0.6% (28)	0.5% (23)
Ethnicity										
Majority	83.1% (3,563)	73.3% (3,144)	78.0% (3,362)	77.3% (3,330)	81.2% (3,479)	78.9% (3,379)	89.3% (3,796)	88.3% (3,750)	62.7% (2,899)	62.9% (2,909)
Minority	16.6% (710)	26.3% (1,129)	20.6% (888)	21.4% (921)	16.3% (700)	18.7% (803)	10.0% (427)	11.2% (475)	36.7% (1,696)	36.5% (1,686)
Not stated	0.4% (16)	0.4% (16)	1.4% (59)	1.3% (58)	2.5% (105)	2.4% (102)	0.6% (26)	0.6% (24)	0.6% (27)	0.6% (27)
BMI										
Underweight	3.3% (140)	3.5% (151)	2.9% (123)	3.0% (128)	1.7% (72)	1.4% (60)	2.8% (121)	2.8% (119)	2.2% (102)	2.1% (99)
Normal weight	30.8% (1,321)	32.3% (1,384)	34.4% (1,483)	34.3% (1,477)	37.3% (1,600)	35.9% (1,539)	35.1% (1,493)	34.7% (1,476)	32.8% (1,517)	32.4% (1,499)
Overweight	27.4% (1,176)	26.9% (1,152)	27.4% (1,181)	26.2% (1,128)	30.3% (1,298)	30.8% (1,319)	26.9% (1,144)	26.0% (1,107)	28.9% (1,335)	27.7% (1,281)
Obese	23.2% (997)	21.9% (939)	21.2% (913)	21.7% (935)	14.2% (607)	15.0% (644)	16.8% (715)	17.4% (738)	23.5% (1,088)	24.5% (1,131)
Missing	15.3% (655)	15.5% (663)	14.1% (609)	14.9% (641)	16.5% (707)	16.9% (723)	18.3% (776)	19.0% (809)	12.5% (580)	13.3% (613)

COMPARISONS WITH NATIONAL BENCHMARK SURVEYS

Australia

Table 4 compares estimates of education, ethnicity, and BMI from Wave 4 (2020) with Australian estimates from the Australian Census of Population and Housing conducted in August 2016 and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) collected in 2017.

TABLE 4: Prevalence estimates for education, ethnicity and BMI in Australia

Table 4a. Education	Census of Population and Housing 2016, age 15+ ^a	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,289)
	%	Weighted %
No qualification	39.9	41.9 ^b
Vocational	18.8	12.7
Advanced diploma or diploma	8.9	19.3 ^c
Bachelor or higher degree	22.0	25.5
Not stated	10.5	0.5

^a Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census of Population and Housing: Highest qualification achieved 2016. Available at: <https://profile.id.com.au/australia/qualifications>.

^b IFPS estimate includes 'Did not complete secondary school' and 'Year 12 or equivalent'.

^c IFPS estimate includes 'Diploma or certificate from CAE' and 'Some university, or university certificate/diploma below the bachelor's level'.

Table 4b. Ethnicity	Census of Population and Housing 2016, all ages ^d	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,289)
	%	Weighted %
Only speaks English at home	72.7	76.4
Speaks a language besides English at home	20.8	23.2
Not stated	6.5	0.4

^d Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census of Population and Housing: Language spoken at home, 2016. Available at: <https://profile.id.com.au/australia/language>.

Table 4c. BMI	OECD 2017, age 15+, directly measured ^e	IFPS 2020, age 18+, self-reported (n=4,289)
	%	Weighted %
Overweight or obese	65.2 ^f	57.7 excluding missing/not stated
	--	48.8 including missing/not stated

^e Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Measured, 2017. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from 2017 National Health Survey.

^f A total of 34.4% of respondents aged 15 years and over did not have their height, weight or both measured. For these respondents, imputation was used to obtain height, weight and BMI scored.

Canada

Table 5 compares estimates of education, ethnicity, and BMI from Wave 4 (2020) with Canadian estimates from the Canadian Census conducted in 2016, the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) conducted in 2015 and 2018 and OECD collected in 2019.

TABLE 5: Prevalence estimates for education, ethnicity and BMI in Canada

Table 5a. Education	Census 2016, age 15+^a	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,309)
	%	Weighted %
No certificate, diploma or degree	18.3	16.3
Secondary (high) school diploma or equivalency certificate	26.5	26.0
Apprenticeship or trades certificate or diploma	9.8	7.6
College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma	19.4	17.9
University certificate or diploma below bachelor level	2.8	7.5
University certificate, diploma or degree at bachelor level or above	23.3	24.1
Not stated	--	0.6

^a Statistics Canada. Census 2016 – Education Highlight Tables: Highest level of educational attainment (general), age groups 15 years and over, both sexes, 2016. Available at: <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/hltfst/edu-sco/Table.cfm?Lang=E&T=11&Geo=00&SP=1&view=2&age=1&sex=1>

Table 5b. Ethnicity	CCHS 2015, age 12+^b	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,309)
	%	Weighted %
White only	77.0	77.3
Chinese only ^c	3.3	8.3
South Asian only	3.4	4.3
Black only	2.0	2.2
Indigenous inclusive	4.7	2.6
Mixed/other/not stated/missing	9.6	5.3

^b Statistics Canada. 2015 Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS): Ethnic origin, 2015.

^c IFPS estimate includes 'East/Southeast Asian (Chinese, Korean, Japanese, Taiwanese descent; Filipino, Vietnamese, Cambodian, Thai, Indonesian, other Southeast Asian descent)'

Table 5c. BMI	OECD 2019, age 15+, directly measured^d	OECD 2019, age 15+, self-reported^e	CCHS 2018, age 18+, adjusted self-report^f	IFPS 2020, age 18+, self-reported (n=4,309)
	%	%	%	Weighted %
Overweight or obese	59.8	55.4	63.1 ^g	56.2 excluding missing/not stated
	--	--	--	47.9 including missing/not stated

^d Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Measured, 2019. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from the 2019 Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS).

^e Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Self-reported, 2019. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from the 2018 Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS).

^f Statistics Canada. Overweight and obese adults, 2018. Available at: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/82-625-x/2019001/article/00005-eng.pdf?st=YPrJKhW5>

^g Non-responses were removed from the CCHS self-reported calculation.

Mexico

Table 6 compares estimates of education, ethnicity, and BMI from Wave 4 (2020) with Mexican estimates from the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI) collected in 2015, and 2020, and OECD collected in 2018.

TABLE 6: Prevalence estimates for education, ethnicity and BMI in Mexico

Table 6a. Education	INEGI 2015, age 15+^a	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,284)
	%	Weighted %
Ninguno	5.8	0.2
Prescolar	0.2	0.1
Primaria ^b	25.4	0.8
Secundaria	27.9	5.6
Educación media superior ^c	21.7	19.2
Educación superior ^d	18.6	73.9
Not stated	0.4	0.2

^a Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI): Encuesta Intercensal 2015: Tabulados de la Encuesta Intercensal 2015. Fecha de elaboración: 24/10/2016. Available at: https://www.inegi.org.mx/contenidos/programas/intercensal/2015/tabulados/06_educacion.xls

^b Includes: Primaria; Estudios técnicos o comerciales con primaria terminada

^c Includes: Preparatoria o bachillerato; Normal básica; Estudios técnicos o comerciales con secundaria terminada

^d Includes: Estudios técnicos o comerciales con preparatoria terminada; Normal de licenciatura; Licenciatura/profesional; Maestría; Doctorado

Table 6b. Ethnicity	INEGI 2020, age 3+^e	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,284)
	%	Weighted %
Indigenous	19.4	18.7
Not indigenous/not stated	80.6	81.3

^e Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI): Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020: Tabulados del Cuestionario Ampliado. Tabulado 2: Estimadores de la población de 3 años y más y su distribución porcentual según condición de autoadscripción indígena por entidad federative, sexo y condición de habla indígena. Fecha de elaboración: 16/03/2021. Available at: <https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ccpv/2020/#Tabulados>

Table 6c. BMI	OECD 2018, age 15+, directly measured^f	IFPS 2020, age 18+, self-reported (n=4,284)
	%	Weighted %
Overweight or obese	75.2	55.1 excluding missing/not stated
	--	45.8 including missing/not stated

^f Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Measured, 2018. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from the 2018 Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición (ENSANUT).

United Kingdom

Table 7 compares estimates of education, ethnicity, and BMI from Wave 4 (2020) with British estimates from the UK Census conducted in March 2011 and OECD collected in 2019.

TABLE 7: Prevalence estimates for education, ethnicity and BMI in the United Kingdom

Table 7a. Education	UK Census 2011, age 18+, England and Wales ^a	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,249)
	%	Weighted %
No qualifications	22.6	8.8
Level 1	13.0	28.3
Level 2	14.1	19.2
Apprenticeship	3.7	2.0
Level 3	12.2	12.1
Level 4+	28.6	28.2
Other ^b	5.8	1.4

^a Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census – Key Statistics for England and Wales, 2011. Available at:

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/bulletins/2011censuskeystatisticsforenglandandwales/2012-12-11>

^b In the IFPS data, the ‘other’ category includes foreign qualifications (if level unknown) and ‘not stated’ responses.

Table 7b. Ethnicity	UK Census 2011, all ages ^c	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,249)
	%	Weighted %
White (including Gypsy/Traveller/Irish Traveller)	87.2	88.3
Mixed/Multiple Ethnic Groups	2.0	3.2
Asian/Asian British	6.9	5.4
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British	3.0	2.0
Other Ethnic Group	0.9	0.6
Not stated	--	0.6

^c Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census – Ethnic group, local authorities in the United Kingdom, 2011. Available at:

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/datasets/2011censuskeystatisticsandquickstatistcsforlocalauthoritiesintheunitedkingdompart1>

Table 7c. BMI	OECD 2019, age 15+, directly measured ^d	IFPS 2020, age 18+, self-reported (n=4,249)
	%	Weighted %
Overweight or obese	64.2 ^e	53.6 excluding missing/not stated 43.4 including missing/not stated

^d Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Measured, 2019. Available at:

<https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from 2019 Health Survey for England (England only).

^e OECD data were weighted for non-response.

United States

Table 8 compares estimates of education, ethnicity, and BMI from Wave 4 (2020) with American estimates from the US Current Population Survey conducted in 2020, US Census conducted in 2019 and OECD collected in 2019.

TABLE 8: Prevalence estimates for education, ethnicity and BMI in the United States

Table 8a. Education	Current Population Survey 2020, age 18+^a	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,622)
	%	Weighted %
8th grade or lower	3.3	2.9
9th grade	1.2	1.2
10th grade	1.4	1.5
11th grade	3.9	4.0
High school graduate or some college with no degree	45.3	45.1
Associate's degree	10.1	10.1
Bachelor's degree or more	34.8	34.7
Not stated	--	0.5

^a U.S. Census Bureau. Current Population Survey: Educational Attainment in the United States: 2020. Available at: <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/2020/demo/educational-attainment/cps-detailed-tables.html>

Table 8b. Ethnicity	US Census 2019, age 18+^b	IFPS 2020, age 18+ (n=4,622)
	%	Weighted %
White only (and not Hispanic)	62.9	62.9
Black or African American only (and not Hispanic)	12.2	12.0
Other race only (and not Hispanic)	6.9	6.3
Two or more races, and/or Hispanic	18.0	18.2
Not stated	--	0.6

^b United States Census Bureau, Population Division. Annual State Resident Population Estimates for 6 Race Groups (5 Race Alone Groups and Two or More Races) by Age, Sex, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019. June 2020. Accessed May 3, 2021. Available from <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-state-detail.html>

Table 8c. BMI	OECD 2019, age 15+, directly measured^c	OECD 2019, age 15+, self-reported^d	IFPS 2020, age 18+, self-reported (n=4,622)
	%	%	Weighted %
Overweight or obese	73.1 ^e	66.6 ^e	59.9 excluding missing/not stated
	--	--	52.4 including missing/not stated

^c Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Measured, 2019. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from the 2019 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

^d Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Overweight or obese population: Self-reported, 2019. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthrisk/overweight-or-obese-population.htm>. Source data obtained from the 2019 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS).

^e Estimates were weighted to represent the U.S. civilian non-institutionalised population.

REFERENCES

- 1 Groves RM, Fowler FJ, Couper MP, Lepkowski JM, Singer E, Tourangeau R. *Survey Methodology*, 2nd Edition. John Wiley & Sons. 2009.
- 2 Groves R. Non-response rates and non-response bias in household surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2006; 70(5):646–75.
- 3 Juan D, Barón JK, Bruenig RV, Cobb-Clark D, Gørgens T, Sartbayeva A. Does the Effect of Incentive Payments on Survey Response Rates Differ by Income Support History? Institute for the Study of Labor. 2008. Discussion Paper No. 3473. Available from: <http://ftp.iza.org/dp3473.pdf>
- 4 Dennis MJ, Li R. More honest answers to surveys? A study of data collection mode effects. *Journal of Online Research*. 2007.
- 5 Braunsberger K, Wybenga H, Gates R. A comparison of reliability between telephone and web-based surveys. *Journal of Business Research* 2007; 60(7):758-64.
- 6 Groves, R.M. Three eras of survey research. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2011; 75(5): 861-871.
- 7 Statistics Canada. Residential telephone service survey. Government of Canada. 2010. Available from: <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/daily-quotidien/110405/dq110405a-eng.htm>
- 8 Blumberg S, Luke JV, Ganesh N, Davern ME, Boudreaux MH. Wireless Substitution: State-level Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 2010–2011. *National Health Statistics Reports*. 2012; 61.
- 9 Blumberg S, Luke JV. Re-evaluating the need for concern regarding noncoverage bias in landline surveys. *Am J Public Health*. 2009; 99(10):1806–10.
- 10 Australian Bureau of Statistics. Household use of information technology, Australia, 2016-17. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2018. Available from: <https://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/8146.0>
- 11 Statistics Canada. Canadian Internet Use Survey. The Daily. 2019 Oct 29. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 11-001-X Available from: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/191029/dq191029a-eng.pdf> (accessed July 6, 2020).
- 12 Office for National Statistics. Internet users, UK: 2020. Office for National Statistics. 2020. Available from <https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletins/internetusers/2020>
- 13 Pew Research Center. Internet/Broadband Fact Sheet. Pew Research Center. 2021. Available from: <https://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/internet-broadband/>
- 14 Statista. Percentage of population using the internet in Mexico from 2000 to 2019. Statista. 2020. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/209112/number-of-internet-users-per-100-inhabitants-in-mexico-since-2000/>
- 15 The American Association for Public Opinion Research. 2016. Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. 9th edition. AAPOR. Available at: https://www.aapor.org/AAPOR_Main/media/publications/Standard-Definitions20169theditionfinal.pdf
- 16 O'Neill M, White CM, Vanderlee L, Reid JL, Acton RB, Hammond D. Validation of a brief measure to assess food source and preparation: the Food Source Dietary Recall. [Under review].
- 17 Vanderlee L, Reid JL, White CM, Hobin EP, Acton RB, Jones AC, O'Neill ML, Kirkpatrick SI, Hammond D. Evaluation of the online Beverage Frequency Questionnaire (BFQ). *Nutrition Journal*. 2018; 17:73. doi: 10.1186/s12937-018-0380-8.
- 18 National Cancer Institute. Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour (ASA24®) Dietary Assessment Tool. National Institutes of Health. Available at: <https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/asa24/>
- 19 MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge. Intake24. University of Cambridge. Available at: <https://intake24.org>
- 20 Statistics Canada. Table 17-10-0005-01 - Population estimates on July 1st, by age and sex, 2020. Accessed May 3, 2021. Available from: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=171000501>

-
- ²¹ Office for National Statistics. Population estimates for the UK, England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: mid-2019, 2020. Accessed May 3, 2021. Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/mid2019estimates>
- ²² United States Census Bureau, Population Division. Annual State Resident Population Estimates for 6 Race Groups (5 Race Alone Groups and Two or More Races) by Age, Sex, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019. June 2020. Accessed May 3, 2021. Available from <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-state-detail.html>
- ²³ Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020: Tabulados del Cuestionario Básico, 2021. Table: Población total por entidad federativa y edad desplegada según sexo y relación hombres-mujeres. Accessed May 11, 2021. Available from: <https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ccpv/2020/>
- ²⁴ Australian Bureau of Statistics. National, state and territory population, September 2020: Table 8 Estimated resident population, by age and sex-at 30 June 2020. Accessed May 3, 2021. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/3101.0>
- ²⁵ Australian Bureau of Statistics. Census of Population and Housing, 2016, TableBuilder – Employment, Income and Education (QALLP). 2018. Accessed April 17, 2019. Available from: <https://guest.censusdata.abs.gov.au/webapi/jsf/tableView/tableView.xhtml#>
- ²⁶ Statistics Canada. 2016 Census of Population: Highest certificate, diploma or degree for the population aged 15 years and over in private households of Canada. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-400-X2016242. Accessed April 9, 2019. Available from: <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/dt-td/Rp-eng.cfm?LANG=E&APATH=3&DETAIL=0&DIM=0&FL=A&FREE=0&GC=0&GID=0&GK=0&GRP=1&PID=110634&PRID=10&P&TYPE=109445&S=0&SHOWALL=0&SUB=0&Temporal=2017&THEME=123&VID=0&VNAMEE=&VNAMEF=>
- ²⁷ Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI). Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020. Tabulados del Cuestionario Básico, 2021. Table: Población de 15 años y más por entidad federativa, sexo y grupos quinquenales de edad según Clasificación Internacional Normalizada de la Educación (CINE o ISCED) y grado promedio de escolaridad. Accessed July 9, 2021. Available from: <https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ccpv/2020/>
- ²⁸ Office for National Statistics. Census 2011: DC5107EWIa – Highest level of qualification by sex by age. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/dc5107ewla>
- ²⁹ National Records of Scotland. Scotland’s Census 2011: QS501SC – Highest level of qualification, All people aged 16 and over. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from: <https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ods-analyser/jsf/tableView/tableView.xhtml>
- ³⁰ Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. Census 2011: DC5101NI: Highest level of qualification by age by sex. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from: <https://www.ninis2.nisra.gov.uk/public/Theme.aspx?themeNumber=136&themeName=Census+2011>
- ³¹ U.S. Census Bureau. Current Population Survey, 2020, Annual Social and Economic Supplement. Educational Attainment of the Population 18 Years and Over, by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic Origin: 2020. Released April 21, 2021. Accessed July 13, 2021. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/2020/demo/educational-attainment/cps-detailed-tables.html>
- ³² Australian Bureau of Statistics. Census of Population and Housing, 2016, Table Builder – Cultural Diversity (LANP and ENGLP). 2018. Accessed April 15, 2019. Available from: <https://guest.censusdata.abs.gov.au/webapi/jsf/tableView/tableView.xhtml#>
- ³³ Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI). Censo de Población y Vivienda 2020. Tabulados del Cuestionario Ampliado, 2021. Table: Estimadores de la población de 3 años y más y su distribución porcentual según condición de autoadscripción indígena por entidad federativa, sexo y condición de habla indígena. Accessed May 11, 2021. Available from: <https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ccpv/2020/>
- ³⁴ Office for National Statistics. Census 2011: CT0702 – Age (SYOA) by sex by ethnic group. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from:

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/adhocs/007585ct07022011censusagesyoabysexbyethnicgroupnattoregion>

- ³⁵ National Records of Scotland. Scotland's Census 2011, DC2101SC – Ethnic group by sex by age. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from: <https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ods-web/standard-outputs.html>
- ³⁶ Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. Census 2011, DC2101NI – Ethnic group by age by sex. Accessed April 29, 2019. Available from: <https://www.ninis2.nisra.gov.uk/public/Theme.aspx?themeNumber=136&themeName=Census+2011>
- ³⁷ Abt Associates. SAS Macro: Rake and Trim G4 V5. Accessed October 19, 2021. Available from: https://www.abtassociates.com/sites/default/files/files/Insights/Tools/rake_and_trim_G4_V5.sas
- ³⁸ Battaglia MP, Izrael D, Ball SW. Tips and Tricks for Raking Survey Data with Advanced Weight Trimming. Accessed October 19, 2021. Available from: https://www.abtassociates.com/sites/default/files/files/Insights/Tools/SD_62_2017.pdf